

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|-----|--------------|---------------------|------|
| 09/536,993 | 03/29/00 | SUEHIRO | | M | 000296 | (4X) |
| 000000 | | | 7 [| EXAMINER | | |
| 023850 MMC2/0702 ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN, HATTORI, | | | | PATEL | , I | |
| MCLELAND & | NAUGHTON, L | LP | [| ART UNIT | PAPER NU | MBER |
| 1725 K STRE WASHINGTON | ET, NW, SU: DC 20006 | TE 1000 | | 2841 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: | 07/02/01 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| t | • | 09/536,993 | SUEHIRO, MITSUO | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Ishwar B Patel | 2841 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this commun | cation appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | | | |
| Period fo | r Reply | | | | | |
| THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the reply is specified above. | s of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) 1 | iled on <u>3<i>0 May 2001</i></u> . | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the | e application. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15 is/s | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claims are subject to restr | iction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| - | | re objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | | filed on is: a)□ approved b)[| disapproved. | | | |
| - | The oath or declaration is objected | | | | | |
| Driority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| | | im for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| |)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of | | | | | |
| a _. | | ty documents have been received. | | | | |
| | | ty documents have been received in | Application No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies | es of the priority documents have bee | en received in this National Stage | | | |
| * | application from the Inte | ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) tion for a list of the certified copies no |) . | | | |
| 14) | | aim for domestic priority under 35 U. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachme | ent(s) | | | | | |
| 16) 🗌 N | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 | w (PTO-948) 19) 🔲 Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) · | | | |

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-9 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1,7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellerson et al., U. S. Patent 5,859,470, (hereafter referred to as Ellerson).

Regarding claim 1, Ellerson discloses a printed circuit board unit comprising:

a printed circuit board (a chip carrier 2, see figure 1, column 3, line 45-60);

an electronic component (semiconductor chip 1,see figure1, column 3, line 45-60);

Art Unit: 2841

a solder bump interposed between the printed circuit board and the electronic component so as to fix the electronic component to the printed circuit board (solder bump 3, see figure 1, column 3, line 45-60); and

an insulated film disposed between the printed circuit board and the electronic component so as to define a through hole for receiving the solder bump (adhesive member 8 located between the chip and the circuit board. Adhesive member 8 includes perforations or vias 9 to permit placement of the solder balls 3 through the film to contact the lower melting solder material 7, see figure 1, column 4, line 60-67).

Regarding claim 7, Ellerson further discloses an outer peripheral size of the solder bump is set smaller than an inner peripheral size of the through hole (see figure 2 and 2A).

Regarding claim 9, Ellerson further discloses a thickness of the insulated film corresponds to a height of the solder bump on the printed circuit bump, see figure 2 and 2A).

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellerson as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Klein, U.S. Patent 5,930,889.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Ellerson discloses all the features of the claimed invention except the through hole is designed to form a constriction in the solder bump between the printed circuit board and the electronic component as claimed in claim 2 and the insulated film is superposed on the printed circuit board so as to form the constriction right on a conductive pad on the printed circuit board as claimed in claim 3. However shape and size of the hole in the insulated film is an obvious matter of design choice depending upon the desired performance and the reliability. Further Klein teaches a constriction (smaller hole) in the insulated film 101, see Klein figure 5, insulation layer 101, column 8, line 1-10) for reliable solder reflow. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the circuit board of Ellerson with insulated film having features claimed in claim 2 and 3 as taught by Klein in order to get the desired results.

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 4, Ellerson does not explicitly disclose the conductive pad with a surface conductive layer having a corrosion resistance higher than the base conductive layer. However a conductive pad covered with a surface conductive layer having a corrosion resistance higher than the base material is known for the apparent reason of protection of the base layer against corrosion and oxidation. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified circuit board of Ellerson with conductive pad with a surface conductive layer having a corrosion resistance higher than the base conductive layer in order to have a better corrosion protection.

Regarding claim 5, Ellerson further discloses the base conductive layer is copper layer (Ellerson – column 4, line 13-20).

Regarding claim 6, Ellerson does not disclose the surface conductive layer is a nickel layer. However nickel is known in the art to be used as a corrosion resistant layer. Further it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified circuit board of Ellerson with the nickel surface conductive layer in order to get the desired results.

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 8, the modified circuit board of Ellerson does not disclose the inner surface of the through hole is covered with a coating wet to the solder bump. However it is known in the art for better and uniform adherence of the solder to the surface. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the circuit board of Ellerson with an inner surface of the through hole is covered with a coating wet to the solder bump in order to

Conclusion

get better adherence of solder to the surface of the through hole.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Panchou et al., Ishida et al., Hashimoto et al., Chong et al., Scholz, Suzuki, Zakel et al., Tsukada et al., Ishida et al, disclose circuit board similar to applicant's claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar B Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305 2617. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 4) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308 3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305 3431 for regular communications and (703) 305 7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2841

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

ibp June 27, 2001